# TRIAL TRANSCRIPT AUGUST 29, 2025

Volume 9

Pages 1615 - 1830

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Richard Seeborg, Judge

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, plaintiffs, plaintiffs, situated, situa

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Friday, August 29, 2025

# TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

# APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

BY: DAVID BOIES, ATTORNEY AT LAW
ALEXANDER M. BOIES, ATTORNEY AT LAW
M. LOGAN WRIGHT, ATTORNEY AT LAW

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520n Los Angeles, California 90067

BY: ALISON L. ANDERSON, ATTORNEY AT LAW SAMANTHA D. PARRISH, ATTORNEY AT LAW

REPORTED BY: Ana Dub, RDR, RMR, CRR, CCRR, CRG, CCG

CSR No. 7445, Official United States Reporter

# BLACK - DIRECT / SANTACANA

- Q. So if a user is sWAA off, does Google save the app

  activity data before or after the consent check server has

  determined that the user is sWAA off?
- A. It's only saved here at the end of the process, after the consent check.
- Q. Can you explain to the jury now what you mean with this
  Number 5?
- Sure. So, as part of the de-identification process -- and 8 we've heard about this already -- but there's some fuzzing. 9 That means you add some fuzz to the data to make it less 10 11 accurate on purpose, to hide the accuracy. For timestamps you would say, "Add a few seconds forward or backward" to make it 12 less accurate. And it's also scrubbed of certain kinds of 13 identifiers, IP addresses. It's encrypted and then it's stored 14 15 securely. So it's fuzzed, scrubbed, and then encrypted and
  - Q. Did the consent check process differ on iOS since 2021?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

stored.

- A. Yes. I think we heard this as well, that Apple instituted a new policy starting in 2021, in iOS 14.5. And anybody who uses an iPhone probably has seen this a hundred times, but iPhone users can click on "Ask app not to track," and that means the device ID called IDFA is not sent in that case; or it can say, "Allow," and then the IDFA is still sent.
- Q. Now, if the user clicks "Ask app not to track," is Google able to determine whether the iPhone is owned by a Google

1707

user?

1

11

12

13

17

18

25

- A. No. There's no way to track that on the Google side. It has no way of determining if it is or isn't.
- Q. And does that mean that they are or are not able to determine whether the owner of that phone has sWAA on or off?
- A. If this is a third-party app and Google receives the
  analytics data, there is no way for Google to determine the
  consent because it doesn't have the Doritos. It's not going to
  be sent, so it can't do the consent check that we just talked
  about.
  - Q. What if the user clicks "Allow" and is okay with the third-party app tracking? At that point is Google able to determine whether the iPhone is owned by a Google user?
- A. There's still no Dorito. It does have an IDFA, so there's a device ID that can be used for tracking, but Google is still unable to determine is this a sWAA-on or sWAA-off user.
  - Q. Why can't Google just use the IDFA to map it and figure out which device every iPhone owner has?
- 19 A. That's a good question. Google does not have a mapping
  20 table from device ID to GAIA. That would violate one of the
  21 core principles of not mixing together a pseudonymous
  22 identifier with an identity.
- Q. Now, Professor, I want to ask you about personalized advertising.
  - Does Google personalize advertising with sWAA-off data

### DIRECT / SANTACANA BLACK -

1 PII that is vastly out of proportion with the appearance of PII in the overall dataset. 2

- How were the tabs in Exhibit 453 that contain PII out of proportion with the whole dataset selected for the jury?
- So in rare instances, PII, like Mr. Rodriguez's Okay. name or his email, does appear in that large set of data but it's extraordinarily rare.

But in the examples the jury's going to get, four, 8 I think, four out of the 52 examples will be examples of that. 9 And so 4 over 52 is not as small as the actual percentage if 10 11 you look at the data.

- Do you know who chose to give the jury a disproportionate amount of spurious PII examples in the jury room?
- MR. DAVID BOIES: Objection, Your Honor.
- 15 MR. SANTACANA: He knows. He knows.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.

#### BY MR. SANTACANA: 17

- Did you -- in your 26 tabs that you chose, did you choose 18 any with spurious PII? 19
- In the 26 I chose, I didn't choose any with PII. 20
- 21 Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

- MR. SANTACANA: Your Honor, I offer into evidence 22 Exhibit 453, with 52 tabs on which the parties have agreed. 23
- THE COURT: Exhibit 453 will be admitted. 24
- (Trial Exhibit PX453 received in evidence.) 25

## BLACK - DIRECT / SANTACANA

- Q. What did you find about the appearance of a user's name in the records from those two months?
  - A. Sure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

25

So I found that certain apps, which are misbehaving because they're not supposed to send PII but did so anyway, would send -- in the top example, Alta was sending Susan Harvey's name. She's one of the plaintiffs.

The Uno game was setting Pete Rodriguez and its analytics data.

The last one, Virtue, that just says "Anibal" but I counted it anyway as a disclosure of the user's name.

- Q. What about the appearance of emails in these records?
- A. Again, fairly rare. I think .34 percent of the time of
  the 132,000 had email. In every instance, these are all Anibal
  Rodriguez's email address. Once again, these apps aren't
  supposed to be sending this information and these four did so.
- 17 **Q.** What about the appearance of phone number in these records?
  - A. The phone number was the rarest of all. There were only six occurrences of a phone number throughout the entire dataset.
- Q. Now, Professor Black, what is the fate of the email and phone number and name that gets sent to Google Analytics improperly? What happens to it?
  - A. The analytics server receives this information and doesn't

- Q. And just to be clear, though I think we've heard it many times, but did you, as the person reviewing the evidence and a computer scientist, did you see any evidence that Google combines data from the different apartments?
  - A. No. I've seen no evidence that they try to cross-reference or combine data from different isolated silos or apartments. I mean, nor would they be able to because these are encrypted under different keys. So even if things would match up, if they were not encrypted, once they're encrypted, they look -- even a match would look like a mismatch because of the encryption.
  - Q. Well, let me ask you about that.

- I think Dr. Hochman said something about if even one of these rare instances leaked out of the apartment into the wild, like a hack or something, that that could theoretically harm users. Do you have a response to that?
- A. Yeah. Because each apartment is encrypted under a different key, then even if the information were acquired by a bad quy, this cross-referencing wouldn't be possible.
- And so if, you know, one of these misbehaving apps had PII, which they do, then exposing the encrypted version -- the encrypted information that goes with that PII doesn't tell you where Mr. Rodriguez' information is in every other apartment because it's encrypted differently.
- Q. Now, you explained to the jury that the latitude and